arXiv:hep-th/9206085v1 24 Jun 1992

hep-th/9206085
UCSBTH–92–23

A NEW CONSTRUCTION OF THE PENNER MODEL

Mark Srednicki

Department of Physics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

ABSTRACT: The free energy of the Penner model is shown to be closely related to the integral over the two diagonalizing unitary matrices of a complex rectangular matrix.

Matrix models[1] can be solved if and only if the original integrals over matrix elements can be reduced to integrals over eigenvalues[2,3]. In these models, the "angular" integrals over the diagonalizing unitary matrices result in a numerical factor which is usually and properly ignored, since it contains no useful information and can be absorbed into the normalization of the partition function. Here we point out a surprising fact: the numerical factor resulting from the angular integrals for a complex matrix with N' rows and N columns is closely related to the partition function for the Penner model[4–7], which in turn is closely related to the moduli space of Riemann surfaces. We will give a precise statement and proof of the relationship, but unfortunately are unable to provide a physical or intuitive explanation of it.

Let us begin with a brief review of the Penner model. It is defined by the partition function[4,5]

$$Z(t,N) = \xi(N) \int \mathcal{D}\phi \, e^{Nt\,\mathrm{Tr}[\phi + \log(1-\phi)]} \tag{1}$$

where ϕ is a hermitian $N \times N$ matrix, t is a positive real parameter, $\mathcal{D}\phi$ indicates separate integration over the real and imaginary parts of each matrix element of ϕ, and $\xi(N)$ is a normalization constant (which we will not be interested in). The free energy $F(t,N) = \log Z(t,n)$ of this model can be expanded as

$$F(t,N) = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} N^{2-2g} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^{2-2g-n} \chi_{g,n} \tag{2}$$

where $\chi_{g,n}$ is the Euler character of moduli space of Riemann surfaces with genus g and n punctures. Furthermore, this model possesses a double scaling limit[8–10] in which we take $N \to \infty$ and $t \to t_{\mathrm{c}} = -1$ with $\mu = (t_{\mathrm{c}} - t)N$ held fixed[5]. That $\mu \sim N^{\gamma}$ with $\gamma = 1$ indicates that the resulting conformal field theory has central charge $c = 1$.

The integral in eq.(1) is divergent, and therefore needs some modifications in order to be well defined. Following ref.[5], we first change matrix variables to $M = 1 - \phi$ and then arbitrarily restrict the integration to matrices M whose eigenvalues are all positive. We then have

$$Z(t,N) = \xi(N)\, e^{N^2 t} \int \mathcal{D}M_+ \, (\det M)^{Nt} \, e^{-Nt\,\mathrm{Tr}\,M} \tag{3}$$

$$= e^{N^2 t} \int_0^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left(d\lambda_i \, \lambda_i^{Nt} \, e^{-Nt\lambda_i} \right) \Delta^2(\lambda) \tag{4}$$

where $\Delta(\lambda) = \prod_{i<j}(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)$ is the Vandermonde determinant and $\xi(N)$ has been chosen to simplify the last line. This form for $Z(t,N)$ is well defined and the expansion of $F(t,N)$ in powers of N and t yields the values of $\chi_{g,n}$.

Consider now an $N' \times N$ matrix X with complex entries and no other special properties. Models of this type have been studied before[11,12], but the information we will extract from them is new. Without loss of generality we can choose $N' \geq N$ and define a positive real parameter $t = (N' - N)/N$. We will think of t and N as the independent parameters, with $N' = (1+t)N$. Define the integral

$$I\big(t, N; f(x)\big) = \int \mathcal{D}X\, f\big(\mathrm{Tr}\, X^\dagger X\big) \tag{5}$$

where $\mathcal{D}X$ indicates separate integration over the real and imaginary parts of each matrix element of X, and $f(x)$ is any function for which the integral converges. By making a $U(N') \times U(N)$ transformation on X, we can bring it to the form

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^{1/2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \lambda_N^{1/2} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{6}$$

where the diagonal entries $\lambda_i^{1/2}$ are all real and positive. We then have

$$I\big(t, N; f(x)\big) = \int_0^\infty \prod_{i=1}^{N} d\lambda_i\, J(\lambda)\, f\big(\textstyle\sum_j \lambda_j\big), \tag{7}$$

where the jacobian $J(\lambda)$ was computed in ref.[11]:

$$J(\lambda) = \Omega(t, N)\, \Delta^2(\lambda) \prod_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i^{Nt}. \tag{8}$$

The numerical factor $\Omega(t, N)$, which was not computed in ref.[11], results from the integral over the two diagonalizing unitary matrices. $\Omega(t, N)$ will be our focus of attention.

Let us now choose $f(x) = e^{-Ntx}$. This turns I into a product of $2N'N$ independent gaussian integrals, yielding the simple formula

$$I\big(t, N; e^{-Ntx}\big) = \Big(\frac{\pi}{Nt}\Big)^{N^2(1+t)}. \tag{9}$$

On the other hand, putting $f(x) = e^{-Ntx}$ into eq.(7) and using eq.(8) gives

$$I\big(t, N; e^{-Ntx}\big) = \Omega(t, N) \int_0^\infty \prod_{i=1}^{N} \Big(d\lambda_i\, \lambda_i^{Nt} e^{-Nt\lambda_i}\Big)\, \Delta^2(\lambda) \tag{10}$$

$$= \Omega(t, N)\, e^{-N^2 t} Z(t, N), \tag{11}$$

where $Z(t,N)$ is the partition function of the Penner model, as defined in eq.(4). Equation the right-hand sides of eqs.(9) and (11), we find the bizarre result that $\Omega(t,N)$ is closely related to the free energy of the Penner model:

$$\log\Omega(t,N) = -F(t,N) - N^2(1+t)\log(Nt/\pi) + N^2 t\ . \tag{12}$$

If we now analytically continue this formula to negative t, we can take the double scaling limit $N\to\infty$ and $t\to -1$ with $\mu = -(1+t)N$ fixed. In this limit, F is not well defined, but its third derivative with respect to μ is[5]. At fixed N we have

$$\big[\log\Omega\big]''' = -F''' - \frac{N(3N+\mu)}{(N+\mu)^3} \tag{13}$$

where a prime denotes $\partial/\partial\mu$. In the $N\to\infty$ limit, F''' becomes $[\mu\psi(\mu)]''$, where $\psi(\mu)$ is the Euler psi function[5], while the second term on the right hand side vanishes.

This establishes an unexpected connection between integrals over unitary matrices and the Penner model. At present there is no obvious reason why this connection should exist, but it may be worthy of further investigation.

I would like to thank S. Chaudhuri and J. Distler for discussions, and the Aspen Center for Physics, where the discussions took place. This work was supported in part by NSF Grant No. PHY-91-16964.

References

1. E. Brezin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi, and J. B. Zuber, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **59**, 35 (1978).
2. C. Itzykson and J. B. Zuber, *J. Math. Phys.* **21**, 411 (1980).
3. M. L. Mehta, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **79**, 327 (1981).
4. R. C. Penner, *Perturbative series and the moduli space of Riemann surfaces*, U.C. San Diego preprint, 1986.
5. J. Distler and C. Vafa, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A6**, 259 (1991).
6. S. Chaudhuri, H. Dykstra, and J. Lykken, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A6**, 1665 (1991).
7. Chung-I Tan, *Phys. Rev.* **D45**, 2862 (1992).
8. D. Gross and A. A. Migdal, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 717 (1990).
9. M. Douglas and S. Shenker, *Nucl. Phys.* **B335**, 635 (1990).
10. E. Brezin and V. Kazakov, *Phys. Lett.* **236B**, 144 (1990).
11. A. Anderson, R. C. Myers, and V. Periwal, *Phys. Lett.* **B254**, 89 (1991); *Nucl. Phys.* **B360**, 463 (1991).
12. R. C. Myers and V. Periwal, McGill Univ. preprint MCGILL–92–01 (January, 1992).